UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/826,960 04/15/2004 Sheng-	ring Zhong 10527-447001 / 02-200 220)8	
26191 7590 04/09/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022	ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022	ART UNIT PAPER NU	JMBER	
	3768		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MA	DATE DELIVERY MODE		
	0/2007 DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/826,960	ZHONG ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Rozanski	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	is		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	April 2004.				
,	nis action is non-final.				
•—-					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami		•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have bee	n received in this National Stag	ge		
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s)		0 (0-0 110)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·			

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:
 - I. Claims 1-48, drawn to a method of generating an MRI image of a medical device and proximate body tissue, classified in class 600, subclass 427.
 - Claims 49-53, drawn to a medical device for insertion into body tissue, classified in class 600, subclass 427.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process, wherein there is no need to perform a second MRI process to obtain a second image data. This would comprise a different method of use than the method found in Invention I, where two MRI processes are preformed and the image data are combined.

Art Unit: 3768

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A described in para. [0011]

Species B described in para. [0020]

Species C described in para. [0021]

Species D described in para. [0023]

The species are independent or distinct because they have distinct structural elements that would require different searches in the art and the embodiments are non-obvious variants of each other..

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims generic to all embodiments.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3768

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. A telephone call was made to Stephen Schaefer on 3/12/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence

Art Unit: 3768

or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rozanski whose telephone number is 571-272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571-272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MR

Elister MERICADER Sp63768

Page 6